

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/722,744		11/25/2003	Jeremy D. Peters	17359	7296	
26637	7590	12/22/2004		EXAMINER		
CNH AME			ADTMENT	BINDA, GRE	GORY JOHN .	
700 STATE		OPERTY LAW DEF	AKIMENI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
RACINE, V	VI 53404			3679		
				DATE MAIL ED: 12/22/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A 1: A: N.	A 1: 44 >	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$ $ $ $
Office Action Summary	10/722,744	PETERS ET AL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	Greg Binda	3679	e
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	5
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days II apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commun D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>.</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	•		rits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.	•		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.	•	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	-1		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 25 November 2003 is/ar	re: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ object	ed to by the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	*		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-1	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applicati	on No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stag	је
application from the International Bureau			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Amarkan and A			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) \ Notice of Informal F 6) \ Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152	")
S. Patent and Trademark Office	, —		

Application/Control Number: 10/722,744 Page 2

Art Unit: 3679

Drawings

- The drawings are objected to because they fail to adequately show the disk spring 12, sleeve 36 and shoulder 38 assembled together to form a shock damper, the alleged improvement in the claimed invention. A sectional view of the shock damper showing all of these elements in detail needs to be included with the drawings.
- 2. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,744

Art Unit: 3679

Specification

- The disclosure is objected to because both pages 10 & 12, lines 1 include the phrase "denoted by arrows B and C" but it is not possible to determine what elements and/or features are actually denoted by the arrows B and C.
- 4. The specification is objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.71 and 1.75(d)(1) because the detailed description fails to provide proper antecedent basis for the subject matter of claims 8, 14 & 22.

Claim Objections

5. Claim 1 is objected to because at line 17, "greater than magnitude" should be changed to greater than the magnitude".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

7. Claims 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. In claim 1 the structure of the improvement to the prior art is undefined. Only the result of the

Application/Control Number: 10/722,744

Art Unit: 3679

improvement is set forth and so therefore claim 1 is a **single means claim** (a claim where a means recitation (i.e., the shock damper) does not appear in combination with another recited element of means). A single means claim is subject to an undue breadth rejection under 112(1). *In re Hyatt*, 708 F.2d 712, 714-715, 218 USPQ 195, 197 (Fed Cir. 1983) and *Young v. United States*, 179 USPQ 801 (US CICt 1973). See also MPEP § 2164.08(a).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-4, 9, 10 & 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Leonard et al, US 4,062,203 (Leonard). Figs. 1-3 shows a jaw clutch comprising a driving rotatable member (see "a standard socket or handle" in col. 2, line 61) with a first clutch plate 66 having teeth 74; a driven rotatable member (see "a load" in col. 1, line 11) with a second clutch plate 68 having teeth 75; a clutch spring 64 disposed for exerting a spring force against the first clutch plate; and a shock damper comprising a disk spring 69. Fig. 4 shows the clutch spring is yieldable to a disengagement force greater than the spring force. The clutch spring 69 is disclosed as having a spring rate (see "small compressive force" in col. 3, lines 3 & 4 and "very light" in line 36) that is several times smaller than the spring rate of the disk spring 69. Figs. 1 & 3 show that the second clutch plate 68 and the rotatable member are mounted on a sleeve 72, the

Application/Control Number: 10/722,744 Page 5

Art Unit: 3679

sleeve including a shoulder 73 extending therearound, and the disk spring 69 disposed between the second clutch plate and the shoulder.

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 5-8, 11-14 & 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leonard. Leonard shows all the limitations of the claims but does not expressly disclose the spring rate of the disk spring 69 between about 35,000 and about 38,000 pounds per inch and the spring rate of the clutch spring 64 being between about 2100 and about 2400 pounds per inch. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the disk spring rate substantially between about 35,000 and about 38,000 pounds per inch and have the clutch spring rate substantially between about 2100 and about 2400 pounds per inch, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Kulling*, 897 F.2d 1147, 14 USPQ2d 1056

Page 6 Application/Control Number: 10/722,744

Art Unit: 3679

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 12. disclosure. Dawson, Paul and SAE Manual each show a slip clutch like that in the preamble of the instant claims. Helmut shows slip clutches with various types of clutch springs.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 13. examiner should be directed to Greg Binda whose telephone number is (703) 305-2869. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 am to 7:00 pm with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (703) 308-2686. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> ghy 3at Greg Binda

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3679